MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE CONTRACT REVIEW BOARD

1 June 1967

1. The meeting	was opened by Mr. George E. Meloon, Director of Logis-
	Board on the reasons behind the establishment of a Contract
Review Board and stress	sed the importance of Board membership as evidenced by the
	prove all nominations for membership. Thus, the Board,
	caliber membership, will provide a real ability to review old
	and establish new ones when desirable.

•	4.	Th	e Chairman,		and the	Executive	Secretary,	Mr.
			were present	ed to the Board.				

5. Mr. Blake presented the proposed sixteen-point plan for implementing the recommendations of the Deputy Director for Support. The plan is self-explanatory and presents the steps to be taken both collectively and individually. It was pointed out that one of the first items of business will be to approve a Board charter, as the Board cannot act officially until the charter is approved by the Deputy Director for Support. It

68687 1 DP7/45005350000100200039-0 1007500000100 25X1

25X1

Declassification Review by NGA 2006/02/06:

2006/02/06, -CIA-R

was recommended and approved by the Board members that meetings will be held every Thursday at 11:00 a.m. until further notice. The month of July was set as the goal for having the Board fully operational and implementing the changes to the Procurement System. It was stressed that no changes will be made until after 1 July 1967 because of possible adverse disruptions during the year-end procurement rush.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6. bilities i draft and 1967.	for the	Blake presented a draft of Statements of Authorities and Responsi- Contract Review Board and requested that the members review the ent their acceptance or recommended changes at the meeting on 8 June	
7. briefing Blake re question	plied t	asked what the Director's statements were at the proposed recommendations in the 28 March 1967 memorandum. Mr. hat the Director had only three questions and one comment. The three erned:	
	а.	The signatory authority of the Contracting Officer;	
and	b.	The (Secret) relationship as related to the proposed changes;	
Inte	c. erpreta	A specific contract of over for the National Photographic ation Center.	25X1
The com	ment d	lescribed the high-caliber personnel desired to be nominated for Board Mr. Blake further explained that the briefing concerned:	
	a.	A review of the Director's legal authority to contract;	
	b.	A statistical review of the contracts in force and settlement;	
	с.	A summary of the recommendations of the Consultants and	25X1
Dir	d.	A presentation of the alternative recommendations of the Deputy	

8. Mr. Blake presented a draft of criteria for contract submission to the Board. The difference between contract actions and procurement requests was pointed out. It was suggested that the handling of procurement requests would probably differ among Directorates. Mr. Blake stressed that the function of the Board was not to make decisions regarding the technical aspects of procurement but, rather, to use procurement-request review as an aid to the Board in getting an early insight and pro-

viding guidance on the business and contractual aspects of the particular procurement. The Board was requested to review the criteria and submit its approval or recom-

mended changes at the 8 June 1967 meeting.

Approved For lease 2006 2 9 GIA-RDP74B0053 00100200039-

·
9. It was requested that the members, together with their respective Deputy Directors, establish a monetary amount of contract delegation to be given the the
Contracting Officers who will be placed in their Directors of the many
Contracting Officers who will be placed in their Directorates. The maximum amount that can be delegated is but it was suggested that the reminus Bi
that can be delegated is but it was suggested that the various Directorates
may desire a lower figure. The Deputy Director-approved recommendations shall be presented at the next Board meeting.
10. The Board members were requested to determine the number of active contracts (those where performance has not been completed) below the requested delegation or and present the statistics at the next meeting. It was pointed
out that the actions where performance is complete will not be transferred to the Directorates.
11. Nominations for alternate Directorate Board members were requested to
be presented to Mr. Blake before next week's meeting. These nominations must be in writing as they will be submitted to the Executive Director-Comptroller for approval.

12. adjourned.

After a brief informal discussion of the Board functions, the meeting was